ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL
ATTORNEY GENERAL

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
CENTRAL MASSACHUSETTS DIVISION
10 MECHANIC STREET, SUITE 301
WORCESTER, MA 01608

(508) 792-7600
(508) 795-1991 fax
www.mass.gov/ago

November 7, 2025

Susan E. Copeland, Town Clerk
Town of Ayer
1 Main Street
Ayer, MA 01432

Re: Ayer Annual Town Meeting of April 28, 2025 -- Case # 11906
Warrant Articles # 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 (Zoning)
Warrant Article # 26 (General)[1]

Dear Ms. Copeland:

Articles 29 and 30 - We approve the amendments adopted under Article 29 and Article 30.

Under Articles 29 and 30, the Town voted to amend it's zoning by-laws regarding Accessory Dwelling Units ("ADUs") by amending Section 320-5.3, "Residential Accessory Uses," and Section 320-5.2, "Table of Use Regulations," to allow ADUs as of right in compliance with G.L. c. 40A, § 3 and the implementing Regulations promulgated by the Executive Office of Housing and Livable Communities ("EOHLC"), 760 CMR 71.00, "Protected Use Accessory Dwelling Units" ("Regulations").[2]

We approve Article 29 and Article 30 because the approved text does not conflict with state law. See Amherst v. Attorney General, 398 Mass. 793, 795-96 (1986) (requiring inconsistency with state law or the Constitution for the Attorney General to disapprove a by-law).

In this decision we summarize the by-law amendments adopted under Articles 29 and 30; discuss the Attorney General's standard of review of town by-laws and the recent statutory and regulatory changes that allow Protected Use ADUs as of right;[3] and then explain why, based on

[1] In a decision issued on September 8, 2025, we disapproved Article 26, approved Articles 27, 28 and 31, and by agreement with Town Counsel extended our deadline for Articles 29 and 30 for an additional 60 days until November 7, 2025.

[2] The Regulations can be found here: https://www.mass.gov/doc/760-cmr-7100-protected-use-adus-final-version/download.

[3] 760 CMR 71.02 defines the term "Protected Use ADU" as follows: "An attached or detached ADU that is located, or is proposed to be located, on a Lot in a Single-family Residential Zoning District and is protected by M.G.L. c. 40A, § 3, provided that only one ADU on a lot may qualify as a Protected Use ADU.

our standard of review, we approve the zoning by-law amendments adopted under Article 29 and Article 30.

I. Summary of Article 29 and Article 30

Under Article 29 the Town voted to amend its zoning by-laws to add a new Section 320-5.3 "Residential Accessory Uses" that imposes requirements on ADUs, including allowing ADUs as-of-right in all Single-Family Residential Zoning Districts where single-family residential dwellings are permitted as-of-right or by special permit, subject to the requirements of Section 320-5.3 and prohibiting ADUs in all other zoning districts. The by-law imposes additional restrictions on ADUs including size limitations [Section 320-5.3 (C) (2) (ii)]; prohibiting an ADU from being used as a short-term rental [Section 320-5.3 (C) (2) (iii)]; and allowing only one ADU by right [Section 320-5.3 (C) (4)].

Under Article 30 the Town voted to amend Section 320-5.2, "Table of Use Regulations" by adding a new row to allow ADUs in the Residence A-1 ("A1"), Residence A-2 ("A2"), General Residence ("GR"), Downtown Ayer /Park Street Form-Based Code ("DAPSFBC"), West Ayer Village Form-Based Code ("WAVFBC"), Mixed-Use Transitional ("MUT") and General Business ("GB") Zoning Districts and prohibiting ADUs in the remaining districts.[4]

II. Attorney General's Standard of Review of Zoning By-laws

Our review of Articles 29 and 30 is governed by G.L. c. 40, § 32. Under G.L. c. 40, § 32, the Attorney General has a "limited power of disapproval," and "[i]t is fundamental that every presumption is to be made in favor of the validity of municipal by-laws." Amherst, 398 Mass. at 795-96. The Attorney General does not review the policy arguments for or against the enactment. Id. at 798-99 ("Neither we nor the Attorney General may comment on the wisdom of the town's by-law.") "As a general proposition the cases dealing with the repugnancy or inconsistency of local regulations with State statutes have given considerable latitude to municipalities, requiring a sharp conflict between the local and State provisions before the local regulation has been held invalid." Bloom v. Worcester, 363 Mass. 136, 154 (1973).

Articles 29 and 30, as amendments to the Town's zoning by-laws, must be given deference. W.R. Grace & Co. v. Cambridge City Council, 56 Mass. App. Ct. 559, 566 (2002) ("With respect to the exercise of their powers under the Zoning Act, we accord municipalities deference as to

---

An ADU that is nonconforming to Zoning shall still qualify as a Protected Use ADU if it otherwise meets this definition."

[4] General Laws Chapter 40A, Section 3 allows a Protected Use ADU "in a single-family residential zoning district," defined in the Regulations as "[a]ny Zoning District where Single-family Residential Dwellings are permitted or an allowable use, including any Zoning District where Single-family Residential Dwellings are allowed as-of-right or by Special Permit." According to the Town's existing Table of Use Regulations, single-family dwellings are also prohibited in the Light Industrial ("IN"), Industrial ("I") and Health Care Services ("HCS") Zoning Districts. For this reason, we approve the amendments to the Table of Use Regulations allowing ADUs as-of-right in certain zoning districts and prohibiting ADUs in the remaining districts.

their legislative choices and their exercise of discretion regarding zoning orders."). When reviewing zoning by-laws for consistency with the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, the Attorney General's standard of review is equivalent to that of a court. "[T]he proper focus of review of a zoning enactment is whether it violates State law or constitutional provisions, is arbitrary or unreasonable, or is substantially unrelated to the public health, safety or general welfare." Durand v. IDC Bellingham, LLC, 440 Mass. 45, 57 (2003). "If the reasonableness of a zoning bylaw is even 'fairly debatable, the judgment of the local legislative body responsible for the enactment must be sustained.'" Id. at 51 (quoting Crall v. City of Leominster, 362 Mass. 95, 101 (1972)). However, a municipality has no power to adopt a zoning by-law that is "inconsistent with the constitution or laws enacted by the [Legislature]." Home Rule Amendment, Mass. Const. amend. art. 2, § 6.

III. Summary of Recent Legislative Changes Regarding ADUs

On August 6, 2024, Governor Healey signed into law the "Affordable Homes Act," Chapter 150 of the Acts of 2024 (the "Act"). The Act includes amendments to the State's Zoning Act, G.L. c. 40A, to establish ADUs as a protected use subject to limited local regulation including amending G.L. c. 40A, § 1A to add a new definition for the term "Accessory dwelling unit" and amending G.L. c. 40A, § 3 (regarding subjects that enjoy protections from local zoning requirements, referred to as the "Dover Amendment"), to add a new paragraph that restricts a zoning by-law from prohibiting, unreasonably regulating or requiring a special permit or other discretionary zoning approval for the use of land or structures for a single ADU. The amendment to G.L. c. 40A, § 3, to include ADUs means that ADUs are now entitled to statutory protections from local zoning requirements.

On January 31, 2025, the EOHLC promulgated regulations for the implementation of the legislative changes regarding ADUs. See 760 CMR 71.00, "Protected Use Accessory Dwelling Units."[5] The Regulations define key terms and prohibit certain "Use and Occupancy Restrictions" defined in Section 71.02 as follows:

> Use and Occupancy Restrictions. A Zoning restriction, Municipal regulation, covenant, agreement, or a condition in a deed, zoning approval or other requirement imposed by the Municipality that limits the current, or future, use or occupancy of a Protected Use ADU to individuals or households based upon the characteristics of, or relations between, the occupant, such as but not limited to, income, age, familial relationship, enrollment in an educational institution, or that limits the number of occupants beyond what is required by applicable state code.

[5] See the following resources for additional guidance on regulating ADUs: (1) EOHLC's ADU FAQ section (https://www.mass.gov/info-details/accessory-dwelling-unit-adu-faqs\) (2) Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's Guidance on Title 5 requirements for ADUs (https://www.mass.gov/doc/guidance-on-title-5-310-cmr-15000-compliance-for-accessory-dwelling-units/download); and https://www.mass.gov/doc/frequently-asked-questions-faq-related-to-guidance-on-title-5-310-cmr-15000-compliance-for-accessory-dwelling-units/download; and (3) MassGIS Addressing Guidance regarding address assignments for ADUs (https://www.mass.gov/info-details/massgis-addressing-guidance-for-accessory-dwelling-units-adus).

While a municipality may reasonably regulate a Protected Use ADU in the manner authorized by 760 CMR 71.00, such regulation cannot prohibit, require a special permit or other discretionary zoning approval for, or impose a "Prohibited Regulation"[6] or an "Unreasonable Regulation" on, a Protected Use ADU. See 760 CMR 71.03, "Regulation of Protected Use ADUs in Single-Family Residential Zoning Districts."[7] Moreover, Section 71.03 (3)(a) provides that while a town may reasonably regulate and restrict Protected Use ADUs, certain restrictions or regulations "shall be unreasonable" in certain circumstances.[8] In addition, while municipalities may impose dimensional requirements related to setbacks, lot coverage, open space, bulk and height and number of stories (but not minimum lot size), such requirements may not be "more restrictive than is required for the Principal Dwelling, or a Single-Family Residential Dwelling or accessory structure in the Zoning District in which the Protected Use ADU is located, whichever results in more permissive regulation…" 760 CMR 71.03 (3)(b)(2). Towns may also impose site plan review of a Protected Use ADU, but the Regulations requires the site plan review to be clear and objective and prohibits the site plan review authority from imposing terms or conditions that "are unreasonable or inconsistent with an as-of-right process as defined in M.G.L. c. 40A, § 1A." 760 CMR 71.03 (3)(b)(5).

We incorporate by reference our more extensive comments regarding these recent statutory and regulatory changes related to ADUs in our decision to the Town of East Bridgewater, issued on April 14, 2025 in Case # 11579.[9] Against the backdrop of these statutory and regulatory

[6] 760 CMR 71.03 prohibits a municipality from subjecting the use of land or structures on a lot for a Protected Use ADU to any of the following: (1) owner-occupancy requirements; (2) minimum parking requirements as provided in Section 71.03; (3) use and occupancy restrictions; (4) unit caps and density limitations; or (5) a requirement that the Protected Use ADU be attached or detached to the Principal Dwelling.

[7] For example, a design standard that is not applied to a Single-Family Residential Dwelling in the Single-Family Residential Zoning District in which the Protected Use ADU is located or is so "restrictive, excessively, burdensome, or arbitrary that it prohibits, renders infeasible, or unreasonably increases the costs of the use or construction of a Protected Use ADU" would be deemed an unreasonable regulation. See 760 CMR 71.03 (3)(b).

[8] Section 71.03 (3)(a) provides that while a town may reasonably regulate and restrict Protected Use ADUs, a restriction or regulation imposed "shall be unreasonable" if the regulation or restriction, when applicable to a Protected Use ADU: (1) does not serve a legitimate Municipal interest sought to be achieved by local Zoning; (2) serves a legitimate Municipal interest sought to be achieved by local Zoning but its application to a Protected Use ADU does not rationally relate to the legitimate Municipal interest; or (3) serves a legitimate Municipal interest sought to be achieved by local Zoning and its application to a Protected Use ADU rationally relates to the interest, but compliance with the regulation or restriction will: (a) result in complete nullification of the use or development of a Protected Use ADU; (b) impose excessive costs on the use or development of a Protected Use ADU without significantly advancing the Municipality's legitimate interest; or (c) substantially diminish or interfere with the use or development of a Protected Use ADU without appreciably advancing the Municipality's legitimate interest.

[9] This decision, as well as other recent ADU decisions, can be found on the Municipal Law Unit's website at www.mass.gov/ago/munilaw (decision look up link) and then search by the topic pull down menu for the topic "ADUS."

parameters regarding Protected Use ADUs, we approve the ADU related amendments adopted under Articles 29 and 30 because they are consistent with G.L. c. 40A, § 3 and the Regulations.

IV. Conclusion

We approve the by-law amendments adopted under Articles 29 and 30. These ADU amendments must be applied consistent with G.L. c. 40A, § 3 and 760 CMR 71.00. If the ADU related provisions in Article 29 and Article 30 (or other provisions in the Town's zoning by-laws) are used to deny a Protected Use ADU, or otherwise applied in ways that constitute an unreasonable regulation in conflict with 760 CMR 71.03 (3), such application would violate G.L. c. 40A, § 3 and the Regulations.

Finally, we remind the Town of the requirements of 760 CMR 71.04, "Data Collection," that requires municipalities to maintain certain records, as follows:

> Municipalities shall keep a record of each ADU permit applied for, approved, denied, and issued a certificate of occupancy, with information about the address, square footage, type (attached, detached, or internal), estimated value of construction, and whether the unit required any variances or a Special Permit. Municipalities shall make this record available to EOHLC upon request.

The Town should consult with Town Counsel or EOHLC with any questions about complying with Section 71.04 and to ensure the by-law provisions in Articles 29 and 30 are applied consistent with G.L. c. 40A, § 3 and the Regulations, as discussed herein.

Note: Pursuant to G.L. c. 40, § 32, neither general nor zoning by-laws take effect unless the Town has first satisfied the posting/publishing requirements of that statute.

Very truly yours,

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL
ATTORNEY GENERAL
Tasheena M. Davis
By: Tasheena M. Davis
Assistant Attorney General
Municipal Law Unit
10 Mechanic Street, Suite 301
Worcester, MA 01608
Tasheena.davis@mass.gov
413-523-7704

cc: Town Counsel Mark R. Reich